

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

T

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,147 11/24/2003		Itzhak Bentwich	Itzhak Bentwich			
22930	7590	05/04/2006		EXAMINER		
HOWREY			DEJONG, ERIC S			
		G DEPARTMENT ARK DR, SUITE 200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		VA 22042-2924		1631		
				DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	ication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			07,147	BENTWICH, ITZH	<b>-</b> АК				
			niner	Art Unit					
			S. DeJong	1631					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE VERIOR STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF THE M	AILING DATE Of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. tutory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUI no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M e application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
· · · —	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[2]	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election	n requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing	g(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	the attached detailed Office action	Tion a list of the	ceruneu copies n	ot received.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			w Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Panation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I		5) Notice of	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
Pape	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)								

Application/Control Number: 10/707,147

Art Unit: 1631

## **DETAILED OFFICE ACTION**

## Notice to Comply with Sequence Rules

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR §§1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). See, for example, the sequences listed in Figures 12A, 13A, 14A, and paragraphs 0201, 0202, and 0220 of the instant specification. The requirements of 37 CFR §§1.821 through 1.825 requires the submission of a computer readable form sequence listing, a paper copy for the specification, a statement under 37 CFR §§1.821(f) and (g), and SEQ ID Nos cited along with each sequence listed in the specification or Figures.

The submission of a computer readable form (CRF), submitted by applicants on 11/24/2003, is acknowledged, however the specification does not contain SEQ ID Nos cited along with each of the sequences listed in Figures 12A, 13A, 14A, and paragraphs 0201, 0202, and 0220.

Applicants are also reminded that SEQ ID Nos are not required in the Figures per se, however, the corresponding SEQ ID Nos then are required in the Brief Description of the Drawings section in the specification. Applicants are also reminded that a CD-ROM sequence listing submission may replace the paper and computer readable form sequence listing copies. Applicant(s) are given the same response time regarding this failure to comply as that set forth to this Office action. Failure to respond to this requirement may result in abandonment of the instant application or notice of a failure to fully respond to this Office action.

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, 13, 14, and 16, drawn to a bioinformatically detectable novel gene, a vector comprising said novel gene, a probe comprising said novel gene, and a vector inserter comprising said probe and a gene expression detector, classified in class 536, subclass 24.5. A further sequence election and species election identified below are also required if this group is elected.
- II. Claims 11 and 12, drawn to a method of selectively inhibiting translation of at least one gene, classified in class 514, subclass 44. A further sequence election identified below is also required if this group is elected.
- III. Claim 15, drawn to a method of selectively detecting gene expression of at least one gene, classified in class 436, subclass 6. A further sequence election identified below is also required if this group is elected.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Group I and Groups II and III are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). Group I is drawn to a nucleic acid product that is a bioinformatically detectable novel gene including vectors and probes

Art Unit: 1631

thereof. Group II and III are drawn to methods of using the nucleic acid product of Group I. Group II is drawn to and reads on a method of treatment and requires inhibition of at least one gene in a cell. Group III is drawn to an assay method of detecting gene expression. In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. In regards to groups I and II, the product may be used in a method of hybridization, to detect gene expression. In regards to groups I and III, the product may be used in a method of inhibiting gene expression by inhibiting translation.

Furthermore, search and examination of Group I with either of Groups II or III would impose a serious and undue burden. In the instant case, prior art searches of methods of treatment (or of methods of inhibiting gene expression in vitro) and of methods of detecting gene expression would not be coextensive with a prior art search of the claimed compound(s). Search of each of these inventions would require different key word searches of each method that would necessarily include a search for the distinctive method steps of each that would be different for each and that would not be required in a search of the compound(s). These searches would have to be performed using divergent patent and non-patent literature databases. The different searches would then require subsequent in-depth analysis of the unrelated prior art literature, placing a serious and undue burden on the Office in terms of both search and examination. As such, it would be burdensome to perform search and examination of Group I with either of Groups II or III.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,147

Art Unit: 1631

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product

Art Unit: 1631

and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996).

Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.** 

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Sequence Election Requirement for All Groups

In addition to the above restriction requirement, all the groups in the instant application read on patentably distinct sequences. Each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences. For the claimed bioinformatically detectable gene, the Applicants must elect a single sequence (See MPEP 803.04). It is noted that the multitude of sequence submissions of examination has resulted in an undue search burden if more than one sequence is elected. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 36 CFR 1.141 et seq. Examination will be restricted to only the elected sequence. It is

Art Unit: 1631

additionally noted that this sequence election requirement is a restriction requirement and not a specie election requirement.

# Species Election regarding Target Genes in Group I

Claims 1-10, 13, 14, and 16 (Group I) are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species of target genes. The species (target gene sequences) are independent or distinct because the sequences are unrelated. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (a single target gene that must be the target of the elected gene sequence), even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/707,147

Art Unit: 1631

1001

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric S. DeJong whose telephone number is (571) 272-6099. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It

Application/Control Number: 10/707,147 Page 10

Art Unit: 1631

also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center at (800) 786-9199.

EDJ ST

JOHN S. BRUSCA, PH.D

DOMARDY EVANINEED